

Safe Composite Repairs – Substantiation Linking Repair Test Data to Observed Fleet Performance

FAA Workshop for Composite Damage Tolerance and Maintenance Chicago, IL July 19-22, 2006

John M. Welch

Associate Technical Fellow – Composite Structures Propulsion Systems and Structures July 20, 2006

john.m.welch@spiritaero.com

J.M. Welch 7/20/2006



*

<u>Spirit Nacelle Composites Safe Repair Substantiation</u>

- * Test Coupon Data Substantiates Capacity
- * Service Related Repair Experiences Substantiates Performance Start Bleed Duct Repair Kit Large Trans-Sleeve Repair Kits Inner Wall Overheat Repair Efforts Large Inner Wall Compliance Repair Kits
 - Summary and Closing

Conclusions of Experiences Linked to Repair Substantiation Recommendation



Preparation for Repair Efforts Required Substantive Data

Prepared Test Plan Based on Available Information

Outlined Large Matrix of Potential Coupons

Performed Testing and Documented Results

Data Showed Structural Response was Acceptable

Alternate Materials from Substrate Were Tested





Tension



Compression





Large Beam Bending



J.M. Welch 7/20/2006



Example - Tension





Example - Tension





Realization of Performing Repair Required Mobile Solutions

Hardware Size and Scale not able to be easily shipped.

Difficulty in Shipping for Domestic Carriers was amplified for Foreign Carriers.

Time for Repair had to be minimized to reduce risk.

Unable to rely on available spares – repair must succeed.

Equipment and Logistics, Materials and Processes, all had to become "mobile".



Thrust Reverser Inner Wall Damage from Start Bleed Duct

 Bleed Valve Failure **Repair Kit.** •All necessary components to perform repair Accompanied by detail repair instructions Maintenance center estimated 30-45 days for effort. Accomplished repair on 3 units - took 5, 4, and 3 days respectively. •Provided 8110, carrier provided 8130.





Thrust Reverser Inner Wall Damage from Bleed Duct



Cured Repair Patch

Consolidated Repair Patch being placed





Thrust Reverser Inner Wall Damage from Bleed Duct



3 Units Repair Area = 7 sq ft Exceeding 8000 cycles, 50000 hours (oldest) First performed circa 1997 Was thought to be largest we would ever see **Restored Perforations**

Final Painted Repair





Trans-Sleeve Repair
Kit, for foreign carrier
All necessary
components to perform
repair
Accompanied by detail

repair instructions •Hardware had been out of commission for over 1 year.

Accomplished repair on 1 unit – took 10 days.
Provided 8110, carrier provided 8130.











Through Penetration

Several Stages of Core Repair Innovative "Cavity" Repair



Perforate Restored



• Trans-Sleeve Repair Kit – completed prior to paint









1 Unit Repair Performed on site at Foreign Carrier Repair Area = 25 sq ft 6000 cycles, 48000 hours Performed circa 2001 "Certainly" this was largest we would ever see



Large Area Trans-Sleeve Repair - Collaborative



Core Replaced and Taper Sanding Complete Carrier Provided Dimensions-We provided Everything else Including mylars for Indexing









Solid Side Replaced

Core Replaced

Perforate Restored





Trans-Sleeve Repair
Kit – completed
Provided 8100, carrier
provided 8130







Thrust Reverser Inner Wall Damage from Overheat Issues







Overheat Damage at Blanket Seam

Provided 8100Carrier provided 8130

Taper Sand

Bonded Repair



Thrust Reverser Inner Wall Damage from Overheat Issues





Taper Sand



Overheat Damage at Blanket Seam

24 Units Repairs Performed on-site with "Carriers" as Tech Assist Repair Area = 1 to 5 sq ft 6000 cycles, 32000 hours (oldest) Performed circa 2002,2003,2004 Bonded Repair









Necessary Tooling to get T/R into Repair Position





Special "Tent" for Facility Request

Core Removed



J.M. Welch 7/20/2006





Core Replaced



NDI, Prior to Core Replacement



Doublers Replaced

J.M. Welch 7/20/2006

Page 21 of 30





Doublers Replaced



Final Taper Sanding

Fwd & Mid Kits Applied









Fwd & Mid Kit Cured

Aft Kit Applied





Compliance Repair Kit Complete



NDI Being Performed

J.M. Welch 7/20/2006





NDI Standard on-site

J.M. Welch 7/20/2006





Details and Inclusions Were validated using several types of NDT methods – TTU shown

LAMINATE THICKNESS

A – 6 Ply B – 6 Ply C – 6 Ply D – 14 Ply

NDI Plan required standard Containing all details of the Panel, and potential voids





Details and Inclusions Were validated using several types of NDT methods – TTU shown

CORE TYPES

- A Dense Aluminum
- **B** Double-Slotted Aluminum
- **C Single-Slotted Aluminum**
- D Grade 16 "High Dense"





72 Units to comply, 4 complete Repairs Performed on-site for "Carriers" Repair Area = 33 and 35 sq ft (LH & RH) Just returned to service Performed 6/9 thru 6/22, 2006 Inner Wall Repair Kit – completed
Provided 8100, 8130





Conclusions – Substantiation Data supporting Repair Performance

- The substantiated test data created to support repair efforts has served its intended purpose. All test coupons supporting repair configurations were complied.
- Performance of repair kits to date has been as expected capable.
- Noted performance and fleet experiences from repair kits applied and flying, for a significant amount of time, indicate that testing supports application for repair efforts.
- Inclusive, Pre-consolidated (with pressure) repair patches perform the best in our experiences.
- Structural testing must be supplemented with a capable NDI plan.
- The environment available for processing in the field may need to be artificially supplemented, i.e. "tents", de-humidifiers, extensive cleaning, etc. Solvents and fluids will be present, so to ensure the data supporting the repair is not hindered, these considerations must be addressed preferably in the test matrix.
- Total heat transfer must be considered and planned for, so as to support the data and processes being sponsored. This means power requirements become fundamental to larger repairs.



Recommendation – Preparing for Large Scale Composite Repair

- First, it has to be at least recognized, that all sizes and locations that might be damaged, will. Our experiences have shown that we underestimated the potential, and eventual, larger damage/repair sizes required to support a composite, commercial nacelle.
- The role of logistics is large –Mobility is key and response to a damage event that will leave an airplane out of service has to be practiced and swift. Electrical requirements to support necessary wattage for large scale repairs has to be provided.
- Environmental issues may have to be manipulated to guarantee a capable repair.
- There will be a need to repair with materials other than the OEM substrate.
- Recommend that bonded kits be pre-plied, pre-oriented, and pre-consolidated prior to being shipped on-site so that the greatest ability to preserve strength is guaranteed. This drives a secondary requirement that suppliers, other than the OEM, would need to be "qualified" to lay-up, orient, Quality Assure, and consolidate potential repair kits. Designated Centers of Excellence, perhaps?
- Potential Repair "Centers of Excellence"(?) entities must have available test data from industry, or supply their own data to correlate to their repairs to guarantee large scale capability.
- "Qualifying" repair entities should be required to include successful "proof-of-concept" work prior to carrying out the actual, large scale, composite repair.

J.M. Welch 7/20/2006